

Strategic Transformation of the Energy Framework of the Russian Far East: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Prospects for the Development of Nuclear Generation and the Reconfiguration of Cross-Border Cooperation in the Asia-Pacific Region

Content

Strategic Transformation of the Energy Framework of the Russian Far East: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Prospects for the Development of Nuclear Generation and the Reconfiguration of Cross-Border Cooperation in the Asia-Pacific Region	1
Fundamental Prerequisites for Reforming the Unified Energy System of the East	2
The Primorskaya NPP Project: Engineering, Chronology, and Seismotectonic Safety Issues	2
Technological Profile and Reactor Plant Selection	3
Chronology of the Main Construction Phase	4
The Problem of Territorial Localization and the Baranovsky Fault Factor	5
The Khabarovskaya NPP Project: Regional Initiatives to Accelerate Timelines.....	5
The Macroeconomics of the Energy Deficit: Anatomy of the Cessation of Electricity Exports to China .	6
Objective Circumstances Predetermining Maximum Technological Cooperation with China	8
1. Ultra-Long Logistics Haul and Equipment Weight/Size Characteristics	8
2. Difficulties with Domestic Procurement and Import Substitution under Sanctions.....	8
3. Critical Shortage of Qualified Personnel in the Far East	9
4. Additional Circumstances: The Threat of Western Export Controls on China and Mutual Technological Symbiosis	9
Variant Models and Scenarios for Strategic Cooperation with the PRC.....	10
Variant 1: Cooperation in Procurement and Import Substitution (Component Transfer)	10
Variant 2: Engaging Chinese EPC Contractors for General Construction Works (General Contracting Model)	10
Variant 3: "Energy for Investment" Model (Financial-Energy Symbiosis).....	11
Variant 4: Deployment of Small and Medium Reactor (SMR) Technologies.....	11
Final Summary of the Solutions Architecture	12

Fundamental Prerequisites for Reforming the Unified Energy System of the East

April 2026 was marked by a series of strategic decisions and statements that definitively solidified a paradigm shift in the development of the energy complex in the Far East of the Russian Federation. The historically established operating model of the Unified Energy System (UES) of the East, based on a balance of large-scale hydropower generation (Zeya and Bureya HPPs) and coal-fired thermal power plants, has exhausted its resource and technological potential. The accelerated macroeconomic integration of the region into the global trade route system, the unprecedented expansion of the Eastern Polygon's throughput capacity (Trans-Siberian and Baikal-Amur Mainlines), as well as the active implementation of Advanced Special Economic Zone (ASEZ) projects, have led to the formation of a steady and progressive energy deficit. Electricity consumption in the region is demonstrating accelerated growth rates, exceeding 4% annually, which, given the climatically driven low water levels in Far Eastern rivers and the wear and tear of thermal capacities, creates critical risks for any further industrial leap.¹

In response to these systemic challenges, the state's energy policy has undergone a radical adjustment, recorded in the General Scheme for the Placement of Electric Power Facilities until 2042. This strategic document, developed in accordance with Decree No. 2556 of the Government of the Russian Federation dated December 30, 2022, defines the trajectory for achieving the goals of the Energy Strategy of the Russian Federation, taking into account the introduction of the best available technologies.² The key element of the new doctrine is the shift away from exclusively thermal and hydro generation in favor of a large-scale deployment of nuclear energy facilities in technologically isolated territorial power systems and decentralized power supply zones.²

On a national scale, the General Scheme until 2042 envisions a colossal volume of new nuclear capacity commissioning, estimated at 29.299 million kW.² This staggering figure is driven not only by the need to cover growing demand but also by the imperative to replace retiring capacities. By 2042, morally and physically obsolete reactors of the RBMK-1000, VVER-440, early VVER-1000 series, as well as EGP-6 and BN-600 with a total capacity of 10.373 million kW are slated for decommissioning.² Against this backdrop, the priority attention of relevant ministries, State Corporation Rosatom, and regional elites is focused on two flagship megaprojects: the Primorskaya and Khabarovskaya Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs), designed to become the base nodes of the new energy framework of the Far East.

The Primorskaya NPP Project: Engineering, Chronology, and Seismotectonic Safety Issues

The Primorskaya NPP is positioned as the first and most powerful stationary nuclear generation facility in the Far Eastern Federal District, intended to eliminate the projected deficit of base-load power in the Primorye energy system.³

Technological Profile and Reactor Plant Selection

The core technological solution chosen for the Primorskaya NPP is a design utilizing water-water energetic reactors (VVER-1000). The project involves the construction of two power units, each with an installed electrical capacity of 1000 MW, which together will provide the region with 2 GW of reliable energy, independent of climatic fluctuations and hydrocarbon logistics.³

The VVER (Water-Water Energetic Reactor) series, initially developed in the Soviet Union by OKB Hidropress (the concept was proposed at the Kurchatov Institute by S.M. Feinberg), represents classic two-loop pressurized water nuclear installations with water acting as both the coolant and the neutron moderator.⁴

Characteristic of VVER-1000	Design value
Nuclear fuel state	Solid ⁴
Fissile material type	Low-enriched uranium (LEU) ⁴
Neutron energy spectrum	Thermal ⁴
Reactor thermal power	3000 MWth ⁴
Unit electrical power	1000 MWeI ⁴
Primary coolant / moderator	Liquid (Light water) ⁴
Primary reactivity control method	Control rods ⁴

The selection of the VVER-1000, rather than newer generations like VVER-1200 or VVER-TOI, is driven by pragmatic calculation. This type of reactor is one of the most mass-produced and studied globally (operated at the Balakovo NPP in the RF, Tianwan NPP in China, and many other facilities worldwide from the Czech Republic to India).⁴ The existence of a gigantic array of empirical operational data, proven maintenance regulations, and a unified supplier base for components makes the VVER-1000 an optimal tool for minimizing risks when implementing a debut nuclear project in the complex logistical conditions of the Far East. Furthermore, its relative compactness and the well-studied weight and size characteristics

(the total weight of primary equipment ranges from 3,280 to 3,763 tons depending on the modification⁶) allow for more accurate forecasting of transport costs.

Chronology of the Main Construction Phase

On April 16, 2026, during a specialized meeting in the Federation Council dedicated to the development of the electric power industry in the Far Eastern Federal District, Alexander Khvalko, First Deputy Director General for Sales, Business Development, and Energy Policy of Rosenergoatom Concern JSC, presented a detailed and tight roadmap for the implementation of the Primorskaya NPP project.³ This schedule reflects unprecedentedly high rates for the pre-investment and preparatory phases.

According to the provided information, by the spring of 2026, engineering and geological surveys at the potential site were fully completed, and in late March, the company officially applied to municipal authorities to register the land plot.⁷

Project implementation stage	Planned deadline (A. Khvalko's statements)
Conclusion of general contract for construction and installation works	April – May 2026 ³
Approval of investment justification (OBIN)	May 2026 ³
Development of project documentation and start of priority works	September 2026 ³
Start of main period works (first concrete pouring)	December 2027 ³
Commissioning of the first power unit	2033 ³
Commissioning of the second power unit	2035 ³

The key milestone in the presented chronology is December 2027 — the date of the "first concrete" pouring.³ In nuclear engineering terminology, this process symbolizes the transition from the excavation and auxiliary infrastructure creation phase (concrete plants, rebar shops, shift camps) directly to the construction of the reactor building foundation and containment. The declared commissioning dates of 2033 and 2035 indicate a planned construction cycle from first concrete to physical start-up of 5.5–6 years,

which requires flawless coordination of supply chains and maximum mobilization of labor resources.

The Problem of Territorial Localization and the Baranovsky Fault Factor

Despite a clear schedule for contractual procedures, the issue of the station's final geographic positioning remains a subject of intense scientific and public debate. In the official text of the General Scheme until 2042, the Primorskaya NPP is localized in the Fokino urban district of Primorsky Krai.² However, in informational materials from April 2026, the territory in the Ussuriysk urban district, near the village of Krasny Yar, was increasingly named as the priority site.³

This migration of the project location has deep geological justifications. The selection of the Fokino site faced harsh professional criticism in the press and academic circles. Independent geologists and plate tectonics specialists emphasized that the territory near Fokino might be situated in the influence zone of the active Baranovsky geological fault. The presence of a tectonic fault in close proximity to a nuclear facility (fault line proximity) is a critical risk factor that casts doubt on the overall seismic stability of the foundation and the structural integrity of the containment in the event of a hypothetical Maximum Design Earthquake (MDE).

The requirements of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the national standards of Rostekhnadzor categorically prohibit or severely limit the placement of nuclear reactors on active tectonic structures due to the impossibility of absolute engineering mitigation of coseismic surface ruptures. Shifting the focus to Krasny Yar in the Ussuriysk urban district demonstrates Rosatom's readiness to adapt the project to the dictates of geological reality. To legitimize the final choice and alleviate social tension, the state corporation plans to hold full-scale public hearings by the end of 2026, during which ecological and geological expert evaluations will be presented to the public.

The Khabarovskaya NPP Project: Regional Initiatives to Accelerate Timelines

The second anchor point of the Far Eastern nuclear program is the Khabarovskaya NPP. According to the regulatory parameters of the General Scheme until 2042, its location is set in the Solnechny district of Khabarovsk Krai, near the village of Evoron.²

In the initial strategic planning, the Khabarovskaya NPP was viewed as a second-wave facility for developing the Far East. It was assumed that nuclear generation would reach this region only by the beginning of the fifth decade of the 21st century: the commissioning of the first and second power units was prescriptively scheduled for 2041 and 2042, respectively.⁹ Such a prolonged timeline was based on conservative macroeconomic development scenarios for the region.

However, in the spring of 2026, the dynamics of the project changed sharply. The leadership of Khabarovsk Krai initiated an official appeal to the central apparatus of Rosatom with a motivated request to radically shift the construction timelines "to the left," demanding that the Khabarovskaya NPP power units be commissioned significantly earlier than planned.⁹ As fundamental justification, regional authorities cite revised macroeconomic forecasts indicating unprecedented future growth in electricity

consumption in the region, driven by the deployment of new mining and processing plants, the expansion of logistics infrastructure at ports and railway hubs, and the implementation of federal industrial support programs.

The reaction of Rosatom's leadership to this request demonstrated a high degree of managerial flexibility. The corporation officially stated its readiness to implement the project in tighter timeframes than prescribed by the General Scheme.⁹ Moreover, an assumption was made about the possibility of revising the project architecture to increase the station's total design capacity. The condition for launching this accelerated scenario is official confirmation by relevant federal ministries (Ministry of Energy, the System Operator) regarding the relevance and reliability of the new electricity consumption growth forecasts.⁹

Such acceleration is a highly complex managerial task. It will require immediate adjustments to the investment programs of grid companies to create a Power Output Scheme (CBM), preemptive deployment of high-voltage transmission lines and substations, and a radical redistribution of financial flows within the nuclear industry.

The Macroeconomics of the Energy Deficit: Anatomy of the Cessation of Electricity Exports to China

The intensification of efforts for the early introduction of nuclear generation in the Far East is unfolding against the backdrop of tectonic shifts in the architecture of cross-border energy markets. The most visible manifestation of this transformation was the complete cessation of Russian electricity exports to the People's Republic of China, which began in January 2026.¹ To fully grasp the depth of this event, it is necessary to analyze the history and microeconomics of the process.

Inter RAO PJSC, acting as the sole authorized operator for the export and import of electricity in the Russian Federation, had for many years been a reliable supplier of energy to China's northern provinces.¹¹ In 2012, a strategic long-term contract was signed with the State Grid Corporation of China, valid until 2037.¹ The agreement provided for large-scale deliveries totaling about 100 billion kWh over the entire period, averaging around 3–4 billion kWh annually.¹ Between 2010 and 2020, the average annual export did indeed balance at around 3 billion kWh, making a significant contribution to the revenue of Far Eastern generators.¹

The scale of Inter RAO's operations was considerable: as recently as 2025, the company supplied 11 countries worldwide (including Kazakhstan, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Mongolia), although the total volume of trading export-import operations that year had already shown a 6.9% decline, amounting to 9.7 billion kWh.¹¹ Regarding the Chinese direction, the trend toward curtailing cooperation took on a precipitous character back in 2025. In the first half of 2025, Russia was forced to reduce electricity exports to China by 60%, with volumes plummeting to a historic low of 200 million kWh.¹ The fundamental cause of this initial reduction was a physical deficit of generating capacity in the UES of the East, exacerbated by rising domestic consumption.¹

The culmination of the process arrived on January 1, 2026, when purchases by China were halted entirely.¹

According to sources, the resumption of deliveries throughout the whole of 2026 is assessed as highly unlikely.¹

The mechanism behind this stoppage is purely pragmatic and market-driven. Although the pricing formula under the long-term contract remains a commercial secret, the market structure leaves no room to maneuver. In the energy-deficient UES of the East, the single-part price for electricity (to which export contracts are tied) began to climb rapidly. By January 2026, it had reached peak values — approximately 4.3 thousand rubles per 1 MWh, demonstrating an unprecedented leap of 42% compared to the same metric in January of the previous year.¹

On the other side of the cross-border interconnect, Chinese energy companies operate in a tightly state-regulated pricing environment. The sales price of electricity to domestic end consumers in the PRC remains practically unchanged and stands at about 350 yuan per 1 MWh, which in ruble equivalent equals approximately 3.9 thousand rubles.¹ Chinese importers purchase energy wholesale and sell it retail.

Market location	Retail/Wholesale price per 1 MWh (January 2026)
Russian Far East (UES of the East)	~ 4,300 RUB (42% y/y growth) ¹
People's Republic of China (PRC)	~ 350 CNY (~ 3,900 RUB) (unchanged) ¹
Price disparity (importer's loss)	~ - 400 RUB per every MWh

An economic anomaly arose: the cost of purchasing Russian electricity exceeded the level of domestic tariffs in China.¹ Further imports would mean direct loss generation for the Chinese corporation on every megawatt-hour transmitted.¹⁰ Faced with such a market environment, China suspended purchases, reorienting its grids to utilize domestic generation, as the PRC's massive investments in energy allow it to cover local deficits.¹

The Russian side's reaction to this commercial rupture was calm and strategically measured. Representatives of Inter RAO emphasized that neither Russia nor China is considering the option of legally terminating the contract; it remains in effect, and the parties are actively exploring opportunities to resume trade should market conditions change.¹ At the same time, the Ministry of Energy of the Russian Federation clearly established its strategic priorities: the resumption of exports is possible only upon receiving a request from Beijing and on mutually beneficial terms.⁹ The unconditional priority of Russia's energy policy from now on is the preemptive and guaranteed provision of electricity to the rapidly developing economy of the Far East.¹ Exporting has become a secondary option, permissible only if a

surplus exists.

It is precisely this acute energy deficit and the impossibility of covering it with older technologies that make the construction of the Primorskaya and Khabarovskaya NPPs an existentially important project for the region. Nuclear generation, with its predictable fuel cost component and high margins, is designed to stabilize prices on the domestic market and, in the long term, restore the competitiveness of the Russian kilowatt-hour in Asian markets.

Objective Circumstances Predetermining Maximum Technological Cooperation with China

Rosatom's plans to commission new VVER-1000 power units by 2033–2035 are ambitious; however, their practical implementation in the Far East faces colossal systemic barriers. A comprehensive analysis shows that the vast distance to traditional industrial centers, the specifics of the macro-region's human capital potential, and foreign policy constraints dictate the necessity for the deepest integration with the economy and industry of the PRC. Let us examine these circumstances (identified during a systemic analysis of source data) in more detail.

1. Ultra-Long Logistics Haul and Equipment Weight/Size Characteristics

Historically, the manufacturing core of the Russian nuclear industry (Izhora Plants, Atom mash in Volgogradsk, enterprises in St. Petersburg and Podolsk) has been concentrated in the European part of Russia. The construction of a nuclear power plant relies on the delivery of ultra-heavy and oversized equipment.

The total weight of the primary equipment of a VVER reactor installation is estimated to range from 3,280 to 3,763 tons.⁶ Individual components, such as the reactor pressure vessel (over 300 tons), steam generators, main circulation pumps, and turbine generator elements, are highly oversized cargo. Transporting such components via the Trans-Siberian Railway network over a distance of more than 9,000 kilometers involves critical technical limitations (bridge clearances, curve radii, axle load restrictions). Delivery via the Northern Sea Route is possible but severely limited by ice conditions and requires complex transshipment at ports.

At the same time, China possesses powerful heavy power engineering plants (in Harbin, Shanghai, and Sichuan province) located in close proximity to the borders of Primorsky and Khabarovsk Krajs. Industrial geography objectively pushes toward utilizing the Chinese logistical and manufacturing base to optimize the time and cost of delivering balance-of-plant equipment for the station.

2. Difficulties with Domestic Procurement and Import Substitution under Sanctions

For many years, Russian nuclear projects, including export ones, actively utilized Western components. A clear example is the Tianwan NPP in China, where Russia (Atomstroyexport) acted as the general contractor and equipment supplier for power units No. 1 and No. 2 (V-428 reactors, a version of VVER-

1000).⁵ On these units, alongside Russian reactors, Finnish safety systems and instrumentation and control (I&C) systems jointly manufactured by Siemens and Areva were integrated.⁵

Under current comprehensive Western sanctions, access to technologies from Siemens, Areva, or Alstom is completely blocked. A critical need arises to substitute I&C systems, microelectronics, high-precision pumps, and control systems. China, recognizing its own risks, is investing gigantic sums into achieving complete technological sovereignty. According to the China Nuclear Energy Association, just last year the PRC government invested a record \$20.45 billion into the nuclear sector, the majority of which was directed at developing domestic supply chains.¹⁴ As a result, Chinese manufacturers possess a full spectrum of proprietary solutions that can be seamlessly supplied to equip the Primorskaya and Khabarovskaya NPPs.

3. Critical Shortage of Qualified Personnel in the Far East

Erecting a two-unit NPP at the peak of construction and installation works requires a concentration of 5,000 to 10,000 highly qualified specialists: rebar workers, process piping fitters, top-tier welders (certified to nuclear standards), and engineering/technical staff. The demographic situation in the Far East, population outflow to western regions, and the weakness of the local base for training specialized personnel for nuclear construction make the task of staffing the construction site virtually unsolvable without drawing on external resources.

China, conversely, boasts a colossal army of qualified nuclear builders. The PRC currently has 102 commercial reactors in operation or under construction—more than any other country in the world.¹⁴ A continuous cycle of building dozens of NPPs has allowed Chinese corporations (such as CNEC) to accumulate unique experience and a massive talent pool. Attracting Chinese specialists and engineering teams for general construction works at the sites in Fokino, Krasny Yar, and Evoron is the most rational path to meeting the strict "first concrete" deadline in December 2027.

4. Additional Circumstances: The Threat of Western Export Controls on China and Mutual Technological Symbiosis

Beyond purely Russian difficulties, closer ties with China are predetermined by Beijing's own challenges. Geopolitical analysis shows that the PRC's nuclear energy sector is also facing pressure from the USA. Press reports note the risks of strict US export controls on nuclear technologies intended for China.¹⁴ In particular, the US administration has previously suspended licenses for exporting nuclear generation equipment to the PRC, threatening the supply of components for the Westinghouse AP1000 reactors widely used in China (for instance, in Guangdong and Zhejiang provinces).¹⁴

Faced with potential denial of access to American technologies (despite the US risking the loss of a massive Chinese market amidst the decommissioning of its own NPPs ¹⁴), China is objectively interested in diversifying its technological ties. Deep cooperation with Rosatom, including the production of VVER components, the creation of joint ventures, and the exchange of engineering practices, forms a powerful anti-sanctions alliance. This symbiosis is backed by a multi-year history of successful interaction. For example, Rosatom (TVEL) has been helping China localize the production of nuclear fuel for the VVER-

1000 at the Yibin plant (Sichuan province) since 2009, and since 2014, modern TVS-2M fuel assemblies have been manufactured there.¹⁵ As part of this cooperation, TVEL supplies China with highly complex equipment, such as modernized ultrasonic units for weld inspection (with an error margin of up to 0.1 mm and a throughput of up to 90 items per hour).¹⁵

Additionally, China actively utilizes turbine generator technologies that could be integrated with Russian reactors. For instance, Dongfang Electric Corporation signed an agreement with Alstom in 2013 to manufacture turbines based on Arabelle technology.⁵ Integrating such competencies can solve the problem of capacity shortages at Russian turbine plants.

Variant Models and Scenarios for Strategic Cooperation with the PRC

Synthesizing the circumstances outlined above makes it possible to model several conceptual cooperation variants for the implementation of the Primorskaya and Khabarovskaya NPP projects, ranked by the degree of integration.

Variant 1: Cooperation in Procurement and Import Substitution (Component Transfer)

This model assumes that Rosatom retains the status of the absolute general designer and contractor for the "nuclear island" (reactor pressure vessel, active core). Interaction with China is limited to purchasing critical components that have fallen under sanctions for the "turbine island" and auxiliary systems.

- **I&C and Instrumentation:** Replacing Siemens/Areva systems⁵ with Chinese analogs tested on the Tianwan NPP units.
- **Turbine Equipment:** Engaging Chinese conglomerates (Dongfang Electric, Shanghai Electric) to produce large-scale turbine and generator elements according to Russian specifications, radically reducing manufacturing time and simplifying logistics across the Amur River or Sea of Japan ports.
- **Auxiliary Infrastructure:** Sourcing Chinese switchgears, transformers, pump fleets, and pipeline valves.

This model serves as the baseline, is the least politicized, and focuses solely on resolving bottlenecks in the logistics and procurement of Russian industry.

Variant 2: Engaging Chinese EPC Contractors for General Construction Works (General Contracting Model)

Given the personnel deficit in the Far East, Rosatom could transfer significant volumes of work for the erection of non-nuclear plant facilities (cooling towers, turbine halls, administrative and utility complexes, hydraulic structures) to major Chinese state construction corporations under EPC (Engineering, Procurement, Construction) contracts.

Chinese engineers have proven their efficiency in optimizing construction processes. For example, when

refining the American AP1000 design into their own CAP1400 project, Chinese developers (SNPTC and SNERDI) projected a construction cost reduction from 17,000 yuan (\$2600) per kilowatt (as with Hualong One) down to 13,000 yuan (\$2000), as well as a reduction in construction time from 5 to 4 years through modular construction and optimizing technical requirements for supply chains.⁵ Integrating Chinese project management experience and modular assembly at the Primorskaya and Khabarovskaya NPP sites can guarantee compliance with the directive target of pouring "first concrete" in December 2027 and launching by 2033.³

Variant 3: "Energy for Investment" Model (Financial-Energy Symbiosis)

This variant serves as a macroeconomic response to China's cessation of Russian electricity imports due to the price disparity.¹

Building nuclear power plants requires massive capital expenditures (CAPEX), placing a heavy burden on the Russian budget and corporate investment programs. Under this model, Chinese financial institutions and development funds could provide long-term targeted financing for the Primorskaya and/or Khabarovskaya NPP projects.

The return on investment (or interest payments) would be structured not in cash, but through long-term off-take contracts to supply electricity to China at a fixed tariff. Because NPPs are characterized by extremely low operational expenditures (OPEX) compared to coal generation, the cost of the kilowatt-hour they produce will be competitive over the long run, even factoring in the rigid retail prices in the PRC (around 350 yuan per MWh).¹ This would enable Inter RAO to resume exports, while giving China access to clean energy with no carbon footprint, simultaneously securing workloads for its machinery builders involved in the construction of these NPPs.

Variant 4: Deployment of Small and Medium Reactor (SMR) Technologies

Although the Primorskaya NPP is designed based on powerful VVER-1000s (1000 MW per unit)³, the General Scheme until 2042 explicitly calls for the development of small and medium capacity nuclear power plant technologies for power systems where systemic constraints preclude the use of high-capacity units.²

Russian medium-capacity reactor plant designs (such as the VVER-600) are positioned as an optimal solution for regional needs and exports to developing countries.⁶ Designing the VVER-600 is based on the use of proven equipment (from the V-392M and V-491 projects), utilizes a two-loop layout, and allows for increased thermal engineering margins and greater fuel cycle flexibility.⁶ Partnering with China on joint R&D, manufacturing, and deployment of such systems in the Far East (for example, in decentralized zones or at remote mining sites) paves the way for creating a new export product tailored for the markets of Southeast Asia and Africa, combining Russian nuclear engineering with Chinese manufacturing capabilities.

Final Summary of the Solutions Architecture

An analysis of the Far Eastern energy market's transformation in the spring of 2026 reveals a profound interconnection between the domestic energy deficit, the halt of exports to China, and the acceleration of nuclear generation construction. The Primorskaya (commissioning in 2033–2035)³ and Khabarovskaya NPP (with prospects of early commissioning at regional request)⁹ projects are transitioning from the conceptual planning phase under the General Scheme until 2042² to a phase of rigorous practical engineering. The pouring of first concrete for the Primorskaya station, set for December 2027³, dictates an unprecedentedly rapid pace of work, demanding immediate resolution of territorial allocation issues (mitigating the risks of the Baranovsky fault via public hearings and environmental reviews).⁸

Concurrently, the microeconomics of the price disparity that halted cross-border electricity flows (4.3 thousand rubles in the RF vs. 3.9 thousand rubles in the PRC)¹ paradoxically sets the stage for a deeper partnership. The logistical barriers of transporting 3000-ton components⁶ from the European part of the RF, the shortage of construction personnel in the Far East, and the imperative to substitute Western control systems render China (which has invested \$20.45 billion into its own nuclear industry¹⁴) an indispensable technological donor and partner. A symbiosis of the Russian VVER-1000 nuclear design⁴ and Chinese manufacturing, managerial, and financial resources holds the potential not only to neutralize the current energy deficit in the Far East but also to shape an entirely new, high-tech architecture for the energy security of the entire Asia-Pacific region.

Источники

1. Китай полностью прекратил закупки российской электроэнергии ..., дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://expert.ru/news/kitay-polnostyu-prekratil-zakupki-rossiyskoy-elektroenergii/>
2. Распоряжение Правительства Российской Федерации от 30 ..., дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://www.garant.ru/products/ipo/prime/doc/411153731/>
3. Первый бетон на Приморской АЭС планируется залить в декабре 2027г - ЭнергоНьюс, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://energo-news.ru/archives/198749>
4. VVER - Wikipedia, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VVER>
5. Nuclear Power in China, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/country-profiles/countries-a-f/china-nuclear-power>
6. VVER Reactors, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, https://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/P1500_CD_Web/htm/pdf/plenary/10KS_N.%20Trunov_PM.pdf
7. "Росатом" планирует залить первый бетон на Приморской АЭС в конце 2027 года - Seldon.News, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://myseldon.com/ru/news/index/344625223>
8. Первый бетон в основание Приморской АЭС уложат в декабре 2027 года - ИнфоТЭК, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://itek.ru/news/pervyj-beton-v-osnovanie-primorskoj-aes-ulozhat-v-dekabre-2027-goda/>
9. Невыгодно. Китай прекратил импорт электроэнергии из РФ - Neftegaz.RU, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://neftegaz.ru/news/energy/912748-nevygodno-kitay-prekratil-import-elektroenergii-iz-rf/>
10. Китай полностью остановил закупку электроэнергии из России, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://mashnews.ru/kitaj-polnostyu-ostanovil-zakupku-elektroenergii-iz-rossii.html>
11. Энергопоставки "Интер РАО" за год снизились на 6,9% из-за ограничений в Китае | IF, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://investfuture.ru/articles/energopostavki-inter-rao-za-god-snizilis-na-6-9-iz-za-ogranicheniy-v-kitae-6c4ba7e8e3f0fee743258dc7003fefc2>
12. Китай прекратил закупки электроэнергии в России - TRT на русском, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://www.trtrussian.com/article/b4d17343984c>
13. Китай прекратил закупку российской электроэнернии с 2026 года — Интер РАО - tradingsharks - Т-Банк, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://www.tbank.ru/invest/social/profile/tradingsharks/14c340ea-3faa-48de-be67-07d29e06ea43/>
14. Comments on U.S. nuclear export controls on China, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://www.ans.org/news/2025-06-09/article-7100/comments-on-us-nuclear-export-controls-on-china/>
15. TVEL delivers new equipment for Chinese VVER-1000 fuel production, дата последнего обращения: апреля 25, 2026, <https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/Articles/TVEL-delivers-kit-for-Chinese-VVER-1000-fuel-produ>
- 16.